## Jury Questions

-							
	т	A	T	TI	1	7	Y
		A	n		. 1		Y

	was diere any negligence on the part of the defendant, Mr.						
	Holmes, that caused or contributed to the collision?						
	Yes						
	No						
2.	If your answer to Ouestion 1 is Yes, then state fully and						

2. If your answer to Question 1 is Yes, then state fully and clearly the particulars of Mr. Holmes' negligence.

Mr. Holmes was negligent because:

He did not sufficiently yelld to oncoming traffic, Causing an unsafe situation when he did not have the right of way.

3. Was there any negligence on the part of the plaintiff, Mr. Cockburn, which caused or contributed to the collision?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_No \_\_\_\_


 If your answer to Question 3 is Yes, then state fully and clearly the particulars of Mr. Cockburn's negligence:
Mr. Cockburn was negligent because:

He did not exercise Caution approaching the intersection, assumed the Van's intentions were to Continue Southbound and did not slow down

5. If your answer to Question	If your answer to Question 1 is Yes and your answer to							
Question 3 is Yes, do you fi	Question 3 is Yes, do you find it practicable to determine the							
respective degrees of fault of	100 to							
Holmes and Mr. Cockburn								
	Yes							
	i es							
	No							
6 'If your answer to Overtion	5 is Vac state in nercents	gas the						
	If your answer to Question 5 is Yes, state in percentages the degree of fault or negligence of each:							
degree of fault of flegligent	^	$\circ$						
* .	Mr. Holmes	<u>U</u> %						
	Mr. Cockburn	<u></u> %						
	Total:	100%						
GENERAL DAMAGES								
7. What amount do you asses	s for Mr. Cockburn's pain	L						
physical and mental sufferi	•							
arising from the collision o	• , •	OI IIIC						
	. 0 0.000 0. 10, 2007.							
	\$ 175,000	00						
*	<del>+ 1 1 2, 000</del>							
PAST LOSS OF INCOME								
8. What amount do you asses	s for Mr. Cockburn's clair	m for loss						
	of income arising from the collision of October 16, 2007, to							
the date of the trial?								
	\$ 71 4101 09	2_						
1	πα6,101.							
FUTURE LOSS OF INCOME								
9. What amount do you asses	s for Mr. Cockburn's clair	m for						
future loss of income arisir	future loss of income arising from the collision of October 16,							
2007, from the date of trial	2007, from the date of trial?							
	#	•••						
,	P 191 215-							
-								

## FUTURE CARE (INCLUDING HANDYMAN & HOUSEKEEPING)

10. What amount do you assess for Mr. Cockburn's claim for future services and devices arising from the collision of October 16, 2007, from the date of trial?



## PAST HANDYMAN SERVICES

11. What amount do you assess for Mr. Cockburn's claim for past handyman services arising from the collision of October 16, 2007, to the date of trial?

3212.00